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1. Abstract

Objective: Reflux esophagitis animal models represent an important component in the preclinical study of digestive system drugs, and the aim of this study was to determine the best modeling method. Methods: Female Wistar rats were used to establish reflux esophagitis models by employing the following methods: improved chemical burn, external pyloric clamp plus anterior gastric ligation, cardiomyotomy plus semi-pyloric ligation, cardiomyotomy plus internal pyloric ligation, cardiomyotomy plus external pyloric ligation, and cardioplasty plus pyloric ligation plus gastrojejunal Roux-en-Y anastomosis. The body weight, lower esophageal pH and esophageal mucosal injury of the rats were observed. Results: The model formation rate was 83% based on cardiomyotomy plus external pyloric ligation. On the 3rd day after the operation, lower esophageal mucosa congestion occurred, and the model was successfully established. On the 7th day, mucosal hyperemia and erosion were observed in the most serious lesions, indicating optimal model conditions. On the 14th day, the lower esophageal mucosa remained congested, suggesting that the model was useful from the 3rd to the 14th day. The method caused less trauma to the animals. The ligation diameter was uniform, and the model was more stable. Conclusion: Cardiomyotomy plus external pyloric ligation is the best method.

2. Introduction

Reflux esophagitis (RE) is a common upper gastrointestinal disease caused by lower esophageal sphincter dysfunction, gastric juice or mixed intestinal juice reflux stimulation [1, 2]. Gastric juice or mixed intestinal juice reflux stimulation promotes proliferation and reduces apoptosis of esophageal mucosal cells. Therefore, gastroesophageal reflux has been identified as a major risk factor...
for esophageal adenocarcinoma and Barrett’s esophagus. 
Acidostatic therapy is the main method for treating RE at 
present. Gastric acid inhibitors effectively heal and allevi-
ate symptoms of esophagitis. However, in certain patients, 
symptoms cannot be significantly improved, and their con-
ditions continue to worsen [3]. In recent years, the inci-
dence of RE has increased due to the increasing pressure of 
daily life and numerous other unfavorable factors, such as 
poor living habits [4].

Reflux esophagitis is mainly caused by excessive 
exposure to gastric contents due to impairments of various 
protective mechanisms that prevent reflux into the esoph-
agus. Studies have shown that reflux gastric juice does not 
directly damage the esophagus but stimulates esophageal 
epithelial cells to secrete chemokines, which mediate dam-
age to esophageal tissue [5]. The pathogenesis of RE has 
always been the focus of medical theory and practical 
research. The RE animal model is an important com-
ponent in the preclinical study of digestive system drugs. 
At present, an external pyloric clamp plus anterior gastric 
ligation and cardiomyotomy plus semipyloric ligation are 
common modeling methods. However, the low survival 
rate of model animals and poor molding effects affect re-
search progress. Thus, a better RE model method is ur-
gently needed. Based on previous research, we explored 
a new modeling method, namely, cardiomyotomy plus ex-
ternal pyloric ligation, to effectively generate an acid re-
flux model. In this study, we compared the commonly 
used methods for establishing reflux esophagitis models 
and identified the best method for model establishment.

3. Materials and methods

3.1 Animals and materials

The present study was approved by the Ethics 
Committee of Tianjin Nankai Hospital (Tianjin, China). In 
total, 55 female Wistar rats weighing approximately 280 g 
were used in the study. The animals were maintained at 
a constant room temperature of 22 ± 3 °C and 55 ± 5% 
humidity with a cycle of 12 hours of light and 12 hours of 
dark. All rats were acclimatized for 1 or 2 weeks before the 
experiments and provided free access to water and normal 
chow.

3.2 Modeling procedures

3.2.1 External pyloric clamp plus anterior gastric ligation 
(group A)

The rats were maintained under isoflurane inhala-
tion anesthesia. Rats were induced with 1.5% isoflurane 
and the isoflurane concentration was gradually reduced and 
maintained at 0.5% after the loss of righting reflex. To pre-
vent bleeding during cardiomyotomy, the branch of the left gastr-
ic artery that passes across the gastroesophageal junction 
was sutured with a fine needle. A pyloric clamp was placed 
at the junction of the duodenum and pyloric ring. The ring 
was fixed with a 3/0 line after closure, and the 2/3 fundus 
of the stomach was ligated with the other end of this line to 
prevent the pyloric clamp from shifting to the distal end [6]. 
After closing the abdominal cavity, gentamicin sulfate was 
dripped into the abdominal cavity. The abdominal cavity 
was closed, and the wound was subsequently disinfected 
with iodophor. After awakening, the rats were fed a 5% 
glucose and sodium chloride solution.

After 24 hours of fasting, half (15 g/d) of the stan-
dard pellet diet was given for 3 days, and the full (30 g/d) 
diet was given for 14 days. On the 3rd, 7th, 10th and 14th 
days after the operation, general specimens of the rat esoph-
agus and pathology of the lower segment of the esophagus 
were observed under microscopy, and immunohistochem-
istry analysis was performed (Fig. 1A).

3.2.2 Cardiomyotomy plus semipyloric ligation (group B)

Anesthesia, vascular ligation and cardiomyotomy 
were performed as described in group A. The median abdo-
nominal incision was approximately 25 mm. The cardiac 
muscle was cut longitudinally at the junction of the esoph-
agus and stomach for approximately 1 cm, and the mucosa 
was completely exposed. Half of the pylorus was sutured 
with a 3/0 suture needle, and blood vessels were avoided. 
The feeding method was the same as that described for 
group A (Fig. 1B).

3.2.3 Cardiomyotomy plus internal pyloric ligation (group 
C)

Anesthesia, vascular ligation and cardiomyotomy 
were the same as that described in group C. The median abdo-
nominal incision was approximately 25 mm. The cardiac 
muscle was cut longitudinally at the junction of the esoph-
agus and stomach for approximately 1 cm, and the mucosa 
was completely exposed. A metal needle was punctured 
into the stomach from the stomach body and passed through 
the pylorus to the duodenal end. The outer diameter of the 
metal needle was 1.55 mm. The remaining pylorus outside 
the metal needle was sutured and ligated. The metal needle 
was removed after the suture was completed. The feeding 
method was the same as that noted for group A (Fig. 1C).

3.2.4 Cardiomyotomy plus external pyloric ligation (group 
D)

Anesthesia, vascular ligation and cardiomyotomy 
were performed as described in group C. The median abdo-
nominal incision was approximately 25 mm. The cardiac 
muscle was cut longitudinally at the junction of the esoph-
agus and stomach for approximately 1 cm, and the mucosa 
was completely exposed. The metal rod was placed longi-
tudinally outside the pylorus of the stomach. The metal rod 
was ligated with the pylorus, and the metal rod was sub-
sequently pulled out. The diameter of the metal rod was 4 
mm. The feeding method was the same as that described in 
group A (Fig. 1D).
Fig. 1. Sketch of the operative method in these groups. (A) External pyloric clamp plus anterior gastric ligation. (B) Cardiomyotomy plus semipyloric ligation. (C) Cardiomyotomy plus internal pyloric ligation. (D) Cardiomyotomy plus external pyloric ligation. (E) Cardioplasty plus pyloric ligation plus Roux-en-Y gastrojejunostomy.

3.2.5 Cardioplasty plus pyloric ligation plus Roux-en-Y gastrojejunostomy (group E)

Anesthesia, vascular ligation and cardiomyotomy were the same as that described in group A. The median incision in the upper abdomen was approximately 25 mm, and the incision of the cardia was approximately 0.5 cm. The two ends reached the esophagus and stomach. The blood vessels of the pylorus were sutured transversely and intermittently with noninvasive sutures, and the pylorus was ligated with noninvasive sutures. The jejunum was cut off approximately 8–10 cm away from the pylorus. The distal end was anastomosed end to side with the greater curvature of the glandular stomach, and the proximal end was anastomosed to the sidewall of the small intestine (end-to-side anastomosis) approximately 12–15 cm from the cut edge (Fig. 1E).

3.3 PH detection

After anesthesia, the rats were laparotomized (the specific steps are the same as above), a small hole was pierced in the great curvature of the stomach, the pH electrode of the automatic pH recorder (digraphertmmk III, Synaptics) was inserted into the stomach from the perforation, and entered the esophagus through the cardia. The electrode was placed at the esophageal mucous membrane 1 cm above the gastroesophageal junction, and the pH value was read and recorded 1 min later.

3.4 Specimen extraction

The animals were sacrificed by chloral hydrate anesthesia, and the abdomen was opened thereafter. The lower esophagus was excised and observed, and the specimen was scored based on the evaluation method.

3.5 Pathological assessment

The excised specimen was washed with 10% formalin and fixed with 10% formalin solution for at least 24 h. The esophagus was cut into 3-mm intervals and embedded in paraffin. Then, 5-µm-thick sections were obtained from each embedded paraffin block were prepared for hematoxylin and eosin staining.

3.6 Model evaluation method

The rats were sacrificed on the 3rd, 7th, 10th and 14th days after the operation. Approximately 3 cm of the esophagus was removed from the upper edge of the cardia. The esophagus was longitudinally dissected and rinsed with 0.9% sodium chloride solution. The general manifestations of the lower esophageal mucosa were observed and graded with the naked eye. Classification criteria: 0 (normal) = could have histological changes, score 0; I (mild) = points or strips of redness, erosion, no fusion, score 1; II (moderate) = strips of redness, erosion, and fusion but not full-cycle, score 2; and III (severe) = extensive lesions, redness, erosion, fusion, or ulcers, score 3.

The lower portion of the esophagus was fixed in 10% formalin solution, and paraffin sections were prepared. After hematoxylin and eosin (HE) staining, each section
Table 1. Mucosal pathological classification of reflux esophagitis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathological characteristics</th>
<th>Classification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Squamous epithelial hyperplasia</td>
<td>+ + +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papillary extension of lamina propria mucosa</td>
<td>+ + +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflammatory cell infiltration in epithelial cell layer</td>
<td>+ + +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucosal erosion</td>
<td>– + –</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulceration</td>
<td>– – +</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barrett’s esophageal changes</td>
<td>– – +</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Comparison of model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modeling method</th>
<th>Model rate</th>
<th>Mortality</th>
<th>PH value of lower esophagus on the 14th day</th>
<th>Duration of esophagitis in rats after operation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>External pyloric clamp plus anterior gastric ligation</td>
<td>0.286</td>
<td>0.714</td>
<td>3.73 ± 1.35</td>
<td>7rd day to the 14th day after operation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiomyotomy plus semipyloric ligation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.5 ± 0.65</td>
<td>3rd day to the 14th day after operation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiomyotomy plus internal pyloric ligation</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>5.55 ± 1.14</td>
<td>3rd day to the 14th day after operation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiomyotomy plus external pyloric ligation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.53 ± 0.62b</td>
<td>3rd day to the 14th day after operation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiacoplasty plus pyloric ligation plus Roux-en-Y gastrojejunostomy</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The success ratio of modeling in different groups. The model formation rate of group D was higher than that in group A, B and E (*p < 0.001, †p = 0.0351, ‡p < 0.001 respectively). The pH value in group D was significantly lower than that in Group B (p = 0.0365, ‡p < 0.05).

was observed under light microscopy, and the pathological grading score was calculated. The integral standard refers to Table 1.

3.7 Statistical analysis

The data in the study are presented as the mean ± SD. The significance of analysis was determined by the t test. The survival rate of each group was compared by Fisher method. A value of p < 0.05 was determined to be statistically significant. Significance was set at *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001. SPSS 17.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL) was used in the present study.

4. Results

4.1 Comparison of RE in rats

At 14 days after operation, the pH value of lower esophagus was 3.73 ± 1.35 in group A (Fig. 2A, Table 2). The model formation rate was 28.6% in group A (Fig. 2B). Grade I esophagitis was noted in 50% of rats, and grade II esophagitis was noted in the remaining 50% (Fig. 2E). On the 7th day after the operation, the lower esophageal mucosa was congested, and the specimen score was 1. The model was successfully established. On the 14th day after the operation, the lower esophageal mucosa was eroded and ulcerated (Fig. 3). The specimen score was 2. The pathological features included mild squamous epithelial hyperplasia and papillary extension of the lamina propria. The pathological specimen score was 2 (Fig. 3).

At 14 days after operation, the pH value of lower esophagus was 5.5 ± 0.65 in group B (Fig. 2A, Table 2). The model formation rate was 100% in group B (Fig. 2B). Grade I esophagitis was noted in 75% of rats. Grade II esophagitis was observed in 12.5% of specimens, and esophagitis grade III accounted for 12.5% (Fig. 2E). On the 3rd day after the operation, the lower esophageal mucosa was congested, and the gross specimen score was 1. The model was successfully established. On the 7th day after the operation, mucosal erosion and ulceration in the lower esophagus were observed. The specimen score was 3. Microscopic pathological features included mild squamous epithelial hyperplasia, inflammatory cell infiltration in the epithelial layer, papillary extension in the moderate lamina propria and mucosal erosion. The pathological score was 6 (Fig. 3).

At 14 days after operation, the pH value of lower esophagus was 5.5 ± 1.14 in group C (Fig. 2A, Table 2). The model formation rate of group C was 55% (Fig. 2B). On the 3rd day after the operation, the lower esophageal mucosa was congested, and the specimen score was 1. The model was successfully established. On the 7th day after the operation, lower esophageal mucosal erosion and ulcers were observed. The specimen score was 2. The microscopic pathological features included mild papillary elongation and mucosal erosion of the lamina propria, and the pathological score was 2 (Fig. 3).

At 14 days after operation, the pH value in group D of lower esophagus was 3.53 ± 0.62 (Fig. 2A, Table 2). And the pH value in group D was significantly lower than
that in Group B ($p = 0.0365$). Group D yielded a model formation rate of 100% (Fig. 2B). The model formation rate of group D was higher than that in groups A, B, and E ($p < 0.001$, $p = 0.0351$, $p < 0.001$ respectively). The weight of rats had gained weight, and the weight of rats in group D was significantly higher than that in groups B ($p = 0.0132$) and C ($p = 0.0034$). Grade I esophagitis was noted in 80% of rats, and grade II esophagitis was observed in the remaining 20% (Fig. 2E). There was no difference of grade distribution of esophagitis than other groups. On the 3rd day after the operation, the lower esophageal mucosa was congested, and the specimen score was 1. The model was successfully established. On the 7th day after the operation, the score of the lower esophageal mucosa was 3. Microscopically, extensive squamous epithelial hyperplasia was the primary pathological feature. Microscopic pathological features included mild squamous epithelial hyperplasia, inflammatory cell infiltration in the epithelial layer and mucosal erosion. The pathological score was 5 (Fig. 3).

The model rate of group E was 0.

4.2 Comparison of modeling time

The lower esophageal mucosa of group A was normal on the 3rd day after the operation without congestion and erosion. On the 7th day after the operation, mucosal hyperemia occurred, and the model was successfully established. On the 14th day, mucosal lesions were aggravated with congestion and erosion, suggesting that the model was optimal from the 7th to 14th day.

Lower esophageal mucosal hyperemia occurred on the 3rd day after the operation in group B, and the model was successfully established. On the 7th day, mucosal erosion and ulceration occurred, and severe lesions were noted. Thus, this model was considered an ideal model. On the 14th day, the lower esophageal mucosa still displayed congestion and erosion, suggesting that the model was useful from the 3rd day to the 14th day.

Lower esophageal mucosal hyperemia occurred on the 3rd day after the operation in group C, and the model was successfully established. On the 7th day, mucosal hyperemia and erosion occurred, and severe lesions were
noted. Thus, this model was considered an ideal model. On the 14th day, the lower esophageal mucosa remained congested, suggesting that the model was useful from the 3rd to the 14th day.

Lower esophageal mucosal hyperemia occurred on the 3rd day after the operation in group D, and the model was successfully established. On the 7th day, mucosal congestion, erosion and ulceration occurred, and severe lesions were noted. Thus, this model was considered an ideal model. On the 14th day, the lower esophageal mucosa remained congested, suggesting that the model was useful from the 3rd to the 14th day.

The lower esophageal mucosa of group E exhibited no congestion or erosion on the 3rd day after the operation, and all of the animal models died.

4.3 Advantages and disadvantages of the models

In group A, the external pyloric clamp plus anterior gastric ligation had a significant influence on gastric emptying. Esophageal acid reflux was effective, and alkali reflux could be controlled. However, the survival rate of the animals was 28.6%, and the modeling time was long. In addition, animal trauma was noted. Moreover, the duration was short, and the effect of the model was poor, which is not conducive to follow-up experimental studies. The method of cardiomyotomy plus semipyloric ligation employed in group B was simple and minimally invasive, and the rate of model formation was 100%. There was no unified reference, and the diameter of the ligation tube was not uniform. The degree of esophageal mucosal injury was mild, which was not conducive to follow-up in basic research. The method applied in group C (cardiomyotomy plus pyloric ligation) ensures uniformity of the ligation tube diameter. However, the model formation rate is only 55%, which is not conducive to follow-up in basic research. In group D, cardiomyotomy plus external pyloric ligation yielded a model formation rate of 83%. This procedure was less traumatic, and a uniform ligation tube diameter was obtained. The model lasted longer and had a better effect. Alkali reflux was completely eliminated in group E, but the
operation was traumatic, time-consuming, and complicated by unsustainable feeding and high mortality, which are not conducive to follow-up experiments.

5. Discussion

Reflux esophagitis is a gastrointestinal motility disorder, and the pathophysiological mechanism is primarily due to a decrease in the antireflux defense mechanism and enhancement of the total effect of reflux food on the esophageal mucosa [1, 7]. It is generally believed that acid reflux is the main cause of esophageal mucosal injury. The severity of mucosal injury depends on the ability of the esophageal mucosa to reflux substances. Clear food mucosa depends on the coordination of contraction and relaxation of esophageal smooth muscle [8–10]. In recent years, based on in-depth studies of cytokines and signaling pathways related to reflux esophagitis, researchers have identified specific cytokines and signaling pathways related to reflux esophagitis [11]. Gastrin and motilin contract the lower esophageal sphincter, whereas vasoactive intestinal peptide and somatostatin relax the lower esophageal sphincter and reduce the pressure of the lower esophageal sphincter [12]. The Wnt/beta-catenin signaling pathway is not activated in nonmetaplastic Barret esophageal tissue but is found in dysplastic Barret esophageal and esophageal cancer, suggesting that the Wnt/beta-catenin signaling pathway plays an important role in the development of esophagitis in Barret esophageal tissue [13]. Key proteins of the PI3K/Akt pathway Akt and the ERK1/2 pathway ERK1/2 were also abnormally activated during normal esophageal development, reflux esophagitis, Barret esophagus, dysplasia and esophageal adenocarcinoma [14].

The establishment of animal models for reflux esophagitis is the basis for the study of cytokines and signaling pathways related to the pathogenesis of reflux esophagitis. Currently, many methods are available to establish animal models of reflux esophagitis both domestically and abroad [15, 16]. Factors, such as surgical trauma and susceptibility to infection, necessitate increased demands for experimental implementation and the research team. The basic requirements for establishing a reflux esophagitis model include a high rate of model formation, model stability, reduced trauma and simple operation procedures. At present, the most commonly used modeling method of reflux esophagitis is chemical burn method. Hydrochloric acid solution with 0.1 mol/L collocation concentration and pepsin were added to collocate digestive juice at a ratio of 1:1000. The hydrochloric acid solution was slowly pushed into the esophagus. The modeling method had a high model success rate and a high animal survival rate, but the modeling method could not simulate the natural course of RE. Some researchers used cardiomyotomy plus internal pyloric ligation method. The method ensures uniformity in the ligation tube diameter, but with a wound on the stomach wall. Some researchers used external pyloric clamp plus anterior gastric ligation method. As this method was with high mortality rate, it was not an ideal method. Cardiomyotomy plus semipyloric ligation was a limited method as the pyloric caliber cannot be unified. In a word, the cardiomyotomy plus external pyloric ligation was the best RE model.

Human lower esophageal mucosal cells are nonkeratinized stratified squamous epithelial cells with submucosal glands that secrete mucus to protect the epithelium from erosion. The structure and physiology of dogs and pigs are similar to those of humans, and their genomes are highly homologous to that of humans. These models are feasible for endoscopy and follow-up but are expensive. Gene modification technology is relatively complex, and it is not ideal technique for the generation of animal models. The lower esophageal mucosal cells of rats were completely keratinized and had strong resistance to gastric reflux and duodenal reflux [17]. The esophagus of mice is too thin, the operation is difficult, the success rate is low, and the reaction to reflux is obviously different. Rats with moderate size and high susceptibility to Barrett’s esophagus make for ideal animal models. In addition, the procedures should be easy to perform.

The animal survival rate is a highly important factor in the process of modeling. We use high-quality rats. Weight was strictly controlled at 250–280 g, and preoperative fasting was sufficient. Environmental temperature, humidity and hygiene are well controlled. The operation avoids blood vessels as much as possible under strict aseptic operation conditions (abdominal antibiotics). Postoperative feeding should be gradually introduced to avoid postoperative intestinal obstruction and gastric dilatation. Attention should be focused on the time limit of rat models to avoid missing out on the best time to use the models.

6. Conclusions

In this study, we used rats as experimental subjects and compared 5 different modeling methods. The results showed that cardiomyotomy plus external pyloric ligation was the best method. In this study, detection of the lower esophageal pH value in rats was transient and had selected limitations, which can be further improved in future studies.
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