Prediction of the motor prognosis with diffusion tensor imaging in hemorrhagic stroke: a meta-analysis
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This paper investigates whether diffusion tensor imaging performed within 2 weeks of intracerebral hemorrhage onset could predict the motor outcome by categorizing previous diffusion tensor imaging studies based on the time-point of performing diffusion tensor imaging (<2 weeks and ≥2 weeks after intracerebral hemorrhage onset). A comprehensive database search on PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Library, and SCOPUS was conducted. The pooled estimate was acquired using correlation analysis between the diffusion tensor imaging parameters of fractional anisotropy and motor recovery based on the period of stroke onset. In the results, out of 511 retrieved articles, eight were finally included in the meta-analysis. In patients who underwent diffusion tensor imaging within 2 weeks of intracerebral hemorrhage onset, a random-effects model revealed that the ratio of fractional anisotropy is a significant predictor of motor recovery of the hemi-side extremity after intracerebral hemorrhage (p = 0.0015). In patients who underwent diffusion tensor imaging after 2 weeks of intracerebral hemorrhage onset, a fixed-effects model revealed that the ratio of fractional anisotropy was also a significant predictor of motor recovery of the hemi-side extremity after intracerebral hemorrhage (p < 0.0001). Our meta-analysis revealed that ratio of fractional anisotropy (rFa) calculated from diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) performed ≥2 weeks of intracerebral hemorrhage onset had a positive correlation with the motor outcomes after intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH). Also, although diffusion tensor imaging was performed <2 weeks after intracerebral hemorrhage onset, the ratio of fractional anisotropy calculated from diffusion tensor imaging helped predict the motor outcome. Further analyses, including a more significant number of studies focused on this topic, are warranted.

Keywords
Diffusion tensor imaging; Intracerebral hemorrhage; Motor recovery; Stroke onset; Meta-analysis

1. Introduction
Intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH) is a major cause of disability in adult. Motor deficits are one of the most disabling complication in patients with ICH [1, 2]. Over half of the patients with ICH experience motor weakness [3]. It hinders patients’ independent performance of their activities of daily living and deteriorates their quality of life [1]. The corticospinal tract (CST) is one of the most important neural tracts involved in motor functions [4, 5]. The state of CST after ICH is the decisive factor determining the motor prognosis [4, 5]. Therefore, evaluating the state of CST is vital to identify the motor outcome of patients with ICH.

Diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) allows for evaluating the integrity of the neural tracts or other tissues owing to its ability to visualize the characteristics of water diffusion [6–8]. In intact neural tracts, water molecules move freely in the direction of the neural tracts. However, when the neural tracts are interrupted, the movement of water molecules is limited. Using DTI parameters, this movement, which is correlated with the integrity of the neural tracts, can be measured. Several studies showed that DTI could predict the motor outcome of patients with ICH [9–13]. In previous studies, however, the appropriate time point for obtaining DTI data has rarely been studied. Intracerebral perilesional edema is proposed to hinder an accurate analysis of DTI data because it can affect the movement of water molecules within the neural tracts [14]. Intracerebral edema after ICH is known to persist for approximately 2 weeks after the onset [15]. Therefore, in this meta-analysis study, we sought to find out the difference in motor outcome predictability of DTI based on 2 weeks of ICH occurrence.

This meta-analysis categorizes previous DTI studies into those performed <2 weeks and ≥2 weeks after the ICH onset, thus elucidating whether DTI performed within 2 weeks after the onset could predict the motor outcome.

2. Methods
This meta-analysis study was conducted according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis guidelines. We searched the relevant research on SCOPUS, PubMed, Embase, and Cochrane Library for studies published until January 31, 2020. The following keywords were used in the database search: “diffusion tensor imaging”, “motor recovery” and “Intracerebral hemorrhage” [11].
Filters were applied to extract studies with human subjects. We only included research published in English. A study was selected to include patients with ICH with unilateral extremity weakness who underwent DTI and follow-up assessment of clinical recovery.

Inclusion criteria included: (i) Published original study; (ii) Observational study that evaluated fractional anisotropy (FA), assessed at baseline, and its relationship with the recovery of weakness, assessed at a future time point (≥3 months after ICH onset); (iii) Study measuring FA in the areas with a course of CST; (iv) Study including patients with unilateral weakness following ICH; (v) Information about when DTI was taken was presented; (vi) Study assessing outcomes including the motor function of hemi-side extremities/functional impairment or improvement.

Exclusion criteria included: (i) Studies reporting no extractable data for independent parameters; (ii) Studies investigating responses to treatment with DTI; (iii) Case reports, case series, and review articles; (iv) Studies including patients with chronic phase ICH (inclusion of patients with ICH persisting for over 1 month).

Using a standardized data collection form, data were independently extracted by two researchers (DP and MCC). Discrepancies were resolved after rechecking the source papers and further discussions among all authors. In the three case-control and five cohort studies, the data of 280 patients with ICH were extracted. To assess the effectiveness of DTI for predicting motor function based on the period of stroke onset, we divided the extracted data based on the timing of DTI (DTI performed <2 weeks of the stroke onset and ≥2 weeks of the stroke onset).

We investigated the following data from eligible research: year of publication, surname of the first author, number of patients, sex ratio, mean age, hemisphere affected, timing of DTI, lesion location, hemi-side motor power or function scale used, DTI parameters, location of FA, and duration of follow-up. We also extracted imaging parameters, including the acquisition matrix, repetition time, echo time, direction.
Table 1. Characteristics of the studies included in this meta-analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>Lesion location</th>
<th>Hemisphere R/L</th>
<th>Age (mean ± SD)</th>
<th>Sex (M/F)</th>
<th>Motor scale</th>
<th>DTI parameter</th>
<th>Location of FA</th>
<th>Timing of DTI after stroke (days)</th>
<th>Follow-up (days)</th>
<th>Correlation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kuzu et al. 2012 [18]</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Pt, Th</td>
<td></td>
<td>65.0 ± 8.1</td>
<td>12/11</td>
<td>NIHSS</td>
<td>FA</td>
<td>cerebral peduncle</td>
<td>3, 14</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.661, 0.830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang et al. 2012 [19]</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Pt, Th, IVH</td>
<td>14/13</td>
<td>60.2 ± 10.5</td>
<td>14/13</td>
<td>mNIHSS</td>
<td>FA</td>
<td>cerebral peduncle</td>
<td>3, 14</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>0.641, 0.700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheng et al. 2015 [20]</td>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Pt, Th, or both</td>
<td>27/21</td>
<td>62.0 ± 14.0</td>
<td>31/17</td>
<td>Motricity index</td>
<td>FA</td>
<td>cerebral peduncle</td>
<td>7 ± 5</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ma et al. 2013 [21]</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>BG and/or IVH</td>
<td>11/12</td>
<td>54.3 ± 9.1</td>
<td>15/8</td>
<td>NIHSS</td>
<td>FA</td>
<td>cerebral peduncle</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yosika et al. 2007 [22]</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Pt, Th</td>
<td></td>
<td>61.8 ± 7.6</td>
<td>12/5</td>
<td>MMT</td>
<td>FA</td>
<td>cerebral peduncle</td>
<td>3.17 ± 1.33</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koyama et al. 2013 [23]</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Pt, Th</td>
<td>6/6</td>
<td>62.9 ± 14.6</td>
<td>6/6</td>
<td>Brunnstrom stage</td>
<td>FA</td>
<td>cerebral peduncle</td>
<td>14–18</td>
<td>-(long-term)</td>
<td>0.834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koyama et al. 2015 [24]</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Pt, Th</td>
<td>18/22</td>
<td>61.8 ± 12.9</td>
<td>29/11</td>
<td>Brunnstrom stage</td>
<td>FA</td>
<td>cerebral peduncle</td>
<td>14–21</td>
<td>-(long-term)</td>
<td>0.779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koyama et al. 2018 [25]</td>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Pt, Th</td>
<td>61.0 ± 16.7</td>
<td>29/11</td>
<td>Brunnstrom stage</td>
<td>FA</td>
<td>cerebral peduncle</td>
<td>14–21</td>
<td>-(long-term)</td>
<td>0.763</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: Pt, putamen; T, thalamus; IVH, intraventricular hemorrhage; BG, basal ganglia; MMT, manual muscle test; FA, fractional anisotropy; DTI, diffusion tensor imaging; M/F, male/female.

Table 2. Details of imaging parameters of the studies included in this meta-analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>MRI system</th>
<th>Acquisition matrix</th>
<th>Echotime (ms)</th>
<th>Repetition time (ms)</th>
<th>FOV (mm)</th>
<th>b value (s/mm²)</th>
<th>Slice thickness</th>
<th>No. of slice</th>
<th>Imaging software</th>
<th>DTI direction</th>
<th>ROI area</th>
<th>Hematoma volume (mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kuzu et al. 2012 [18]</td>
<td>3.0-tesla scanner (Signa VH/i; General Electric Medical Systems, Milwaukee, WI, USA)</td>
<td>512 × 384</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>Funcoll TM imaging analysis software (General Electric Medical Systems, Buc, France)</td>
<td>CP</td>
<td>16.7 ± 11.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheng et al. 2015 [20]</td>
<td>3T Siemens Verio MRI system (Siemens Medical System, Erlangen, Germany)</td>
<td>88 × 88</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>9000</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Neuro 3D software (Siemens Syngo)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>CR, CP, Perihaematomal oedema, Pons</td>
<td>18.1 ± 14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ma et al. 2013 [21]</td>
<td>1.5 Tesla Signa Excite II scanner (GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, WI, USA)</td>
<td>128 × 128</td>
<td>62.8</td>
<td>8000</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Volume-One and dTV software</td>
<td>CP</td>
<td>14.7 ± 4.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yosika et al. 2007 [22]</td>
<td>1.5T Signa GE Healthcare USA</td>
<td>128 × 128</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>6000–7000</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>dTV II and volume one software</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>CP, precentral gyrus</td>
<td>13.4 ± 9.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koyama et al. 2013 [23]</td>
<td>3T MR Scanner (Trio; Siemens AG, Erlangen, Germany)</td>
<td>128 × 128</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>7000</td>
<td>230.4</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>FSL (analysis group, FMRIB, Oxford, UK)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>CP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koyama et al. 2015 [24]</td>
<td>3T MR Scanner (Trio; Siemens AG, Erlangen, Germany)</td>
<td>128 × 128</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>7000</td>
<td>230.4</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>FSL (analysis group, FMRIB, Oxford, UK)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>CP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koyama et al. 2018 [25]</td>
<td>3T MR Scanner (Trio; Siemens AG, Erlangen, Germany)</td>
<td>128 × 128</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>7000</td>
<td>230.4</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>FSL (analysis group, FMRIB, Oxford, UK)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>CP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CR, corona radiata; CP, cerebral peduncle; IC, internal capsule; BG, basal ganglia; FOV, field of view; FA, fractional anisotropy; ROI, region of interest; T, tesa; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; NA, not applicable.
of DTI, field of view, b-value, magnetic resonance imaging system used, slice thickness, imaging software, number of slices, hematoma volume, ratio of FA (rFA), and region of interest (ROI) area.

The quality of the included research was evaluated using the Methodological Index for Non-Randomized Studies (MINORS) [16]. Each research was measured according to 8 criteria (maximum score 16): a clearly stated aim, endpoints appropriate to the aim of the study, inclusion of consecutive patients, unbiased assessment of the study endpoint, prospective collection of data, follow-up period appropriate to the aim of the study, loss to follow-up of less than 5%, and prospective calculation of the study. The quality of each study was categorized as reported and adequate (2), inadequate (1), and not reported (0).

The “R” statistical software version 2.2.1 (R Project for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria) was used to analyze the pooled data [17]. A heterogeneity test was conducted using $I^2$ statistics, which measures the extent of inconsistency among results in each analysis. $p ≥ 0.05$ indicated homogeneous pooled data, and a fixed-effects model was applied. Conversely, $p < 0.05$ indicated substantial heterogeneity, and a random-effects model was used in the data analysis. We analyzed the summary of the correlation coefficient to assess the usefulness of DTI for predicting motor function. Based on the different heterogeneity levels of the rate ratio outcomes, the random-effects and fixed-effects models were selected. Further, in the analysis, a 95% confidence interval (CI) was used. $p < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

Five hundred eleven published studies were found in the search after applying the inclusion and exclusion criteria (Fig. 1). Out of the 511 retrieved articles, eight were finally included in the meta-analysis [18–25]. Tables 1 [18–25] and 2 [18–25] demonstrate the general characteristics of the included studies, detailed methodologies, and DTI imaging parameters. The eight studies included 280 patients with ICH with an individual sample size of 12 to 48 patients. Measurement parameters of DTI included FA and apparent diffusion coefficient. Discrepancies emerged in the regions of interest of the DTI evaluation in the included research. The included studies also used various hemi-side extremity motor outcome measures, such as the motor function scale, modified National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale, manual muscle test, Brunstrom scale, and motricity index. Based on the timing of DTI, 138 patients with ICH derived from five studies were classified into the first group (DTI had been performed within 2 weeks of the ICH onset), and 142 patients derived from five studies were classified into the second group (DTI had been performed after 2 weeks of the ICH onset). Two studies (Kuzu et al. [18] and Wang et al. [19]) were included in both groups.

In all included research, "follow-up period appropriate to the aim of the study", "unbiased assessment of the study endpoint", "endpoints appropriate to the aim of the study", "inclusion of consecutive patients" and "a clearly stated aim" were adequately reported. Regarding "prospective collection of data", however, Koyama et al.'s 3 studies [23–25] did not report any related content. Additionally, in 6 studies other than Cheng et al. [20] and Yosika et al. [22], information on the follow-up loss was not presented, or follow-up loss exceeded 5% (Table 3 [18–25]). Furthermore, included research did not estimate the appropriate sample size prior to the initiation of each research.

In patients who underwent DTI within 2 weeks of the ICH onset, a random-effects model was used (heterogeneity: $I^2 = 86\%$, $p < 0.01$), and rFA was a significant predictor of motor recovery of hemi-side extremity after ICH (correlation coefficient = 0.66; 95% CI: 0.30 to 0.86, $p = 0.0015$, effect size = 1.76; 95% CI: 1.31 to 2.20) (Fig. 1A). In patients who underwent DTI after 2 weeks of the ICH onset, however, a fixed-effects model was used (heterogeneity: $I^2 = 0\%$, $p = 0.84$), and rFA was also a significant predictor of motor recovery of hemi-side extremity after ICH (correlation coefficient = 0.77; 95% CI: 0.68 to 0.82, $p < 0.0001$, effect size = 2.41; 95% CI: 1.90 to 2.93) (Fig. 1B). Additionally, as a sensitivity test, the random effects model was also used in patients who underwent DTI after 2 weeks of the ICH onset.

Two of the authors (MCC and DP) individually evaluated the risk of bias with respect to predicting the motor prognosis with DTI based on a few distinct methods. The risk of publication bias was decided using an Egger’s test and funnel plot. A funnel plot was made to evaluate the risk of publication bias (Fig. 2). The FA and motor recovery funnel plot showed some asymmetry in patients who underwent DTI within 2 weeks of the ICH onset. However, Egger’s test showed no statistical significance ($p = 0.2172$), indicating that the observation of asymmetry was not supported and that there may not be a risk of publication bias. The funnel plot between FA and motor recovery showed symmetry in patients who underwent DTI 2 weeks after the ICH onset. Additionally, Egger’s test was not significant ($p = 0.4286$), indicating that there may not be a risk of publication bias.

4. Discussion

In the current meta-analysis, we evaluated whether DTI, performed <2 weeks of the onset of ICH, can predict motor outcomes after the subacute phase of stroke. We found that rFA of affected and unaffected CSTs from DTI performed <2 weeks of onset had a positive correlation with motor outcomes in the chronic phase of ICH. Similarly, rFA calculated from DTI performed ≥2 weeks of ICH also showed a positive correlation with the motor outcomes after ICH.

The rFA value indicates the degree of directionality of water diffusion and ranges from 0 (completely isotropic diffusion) to 1 (completely anisotropic diffusion) [26, 27]. Water diffuses easily along the neural tracts, and its diffusion is hin-
Table 3. The result of quality assessment for selected studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A clearly stated aim</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inclusion of consecutive patients</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prospective collection of data</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endpoints appropriate to the aim of the study</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unbiased assessment of the study endpoint</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up period appropriate to the aim of the study</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss to follow up less than 5%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prospective calculation of the study size</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. Funnel plots of the meta-analysis. (A) Funnel plots for predicting a hemi-side motor recovery in patients within 2 weeks of intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH) onset. (B) Funnel plots for the prediction of hemi-side motor recovery in patients 2 weeks after ICH onset.

However, intra-myelin edema at an early stage of ICH can cause the intra-neural water to move to be more parallel to the neural tract. Because vasogenic edema surrounding the intracerebral hematoma is known to persist for up to 2 weeks after onset, some researchers thought that DTI performed at an early stage of brain injury is inaccurate in predicting the motor prognosis [14, 15]. However, in our study, although the FA value of CST in the affected hemisphere was calculated from DTI performed within 2 weeks after onset, it positively correlated with motor outcomes. Additionally, the correlation coefficient was 0.66, which indicates that the FA value and motor outcomes after the subacute phase of ICH have a moderate correlation [29]. We expect that the effect of edema on the FA value might not be significant. However, considering that the correlation coefficient between the rFA value measured on DTI performed ≥ 2 weeks after onset and motor outcome was 0.76 (strong correlation) [29].

Our meta-analysis revealed that although DTI was performed < 2 weeks after ICH onset, rFA calculated from DTI could help predict motor outcomes. However, there may be greater risk of publication bias in the studies that examined rFA and motor outcomes in the two weeks following ICH, which means results need to be interpreted cautiously. We should consider the possibility that researchers did not report their findings when rFA measured from DTI taken within 2 weeks after stroke was not correlated with motor recovery. Our study is the first meta-analysis performed by dividing previous studies based on when DTI was performed. Our study could be helpful for clinicians to interpret the results of DTI based on the time at which DTI was performed. Our study is limited in that the number of included studies in the meta-analysis was relatively small. Additionally, the assessment of motor outcomes by different scales in the included studies is a serious limitation, due to the degree of...
heterogeneity across studies regarding outcome assessments. However, the relatively large number of patients compared to the small number of included studies is considered to be the strength of our study, although there may be some participant overlaps because two of the included studies examine DTI at 3 days after ICH and 14 days after ICH [18, 19]. Additionally, the assessment of motor outcomes by different scales in the included studies is a severe limitation due to heterogeneity across studies regarding outcome assessments. However, as a meta-analysis study investigating the importance of DTI imaging timing for predicting a motor recovery in ICH patients, we think these results are valuable. In the future, further studies on this topic with large numbers of patients using the same protocol are warranted. Moreover, it would be interesting to study the accuracies of the DTI analysis at multiple time points within 2 weeks after ICH onset (e.g., <2–3 days and <1 week).
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